Sender's Address

Date

Recipient's Addressee Address

#### Dear Addressee:

Per the U.S. Constitution Amendment 1 phrase of "the right of the people peaceably to assemble, and to petition the Government for a redress of grievances.", herewith now I petition and vote for the United States of America Government to legally ratify, help accomplish, and implement the following votes:

## A Petition for Amending the U.N. Charter

[Version 5/08/2025, approx. 09:12 A.M. Caution, this version has several phrasing corrections that provide substantial difference with earlier versions.]

For facilitation in the United Nations Security Counsel, of the best United Nations regional and world representation voting, for continuous world common universally fair satisfactorily adequate peace, and for continuous world common universally fair satisfactorily adequate humanity health-safe optimum prosperity;

Herewith now I vote for only one amendment proposal, of any of the following three proposals 1 and 2 for U.N. Charter Chapter IV Article 10, and 1 for U.N. Charter Chapter IV Article 18-4, to be ratified into the U.N. Charter:

<u>Amendment Proposal 1 for Chapter IV: The General Assembly . . .</u>
Functions And Powers Article 10:

"Article 10 - 1. The General Assembly may discuss any questions or any matters within the scope of the present Charter or relating to the powers and functions

of any organs provided for in the present Charter, and, except as provided in Article 12, may make recommendations to the Members of the United Nations or to the Security Council or to both on any such questions or matters.

Article 10 - 2. The General Assembly must at all times, per the General Assembly's affirmative vote that equals at least greater than one-half of the General Assembly's total membership vote, specify for and provide a UN General Assembly International Security Quorum, that for all of the UN, provides an identification and description of any nation's current international security status. If upon receiving from the (UN General Assembly) International Security Quorum, the quorum's current security status description of a nation, the General Assembly finds to an extent of 44% or greater affirmatively, in the General Assembly's finding decision vote under this Charter 's Chapter VI, and/or paragraph 3 of Article 52, and/or Chapter VII, that respectively currently the nation of the quorum's security description, is of other than U.N. deployment, a party to a dispute, and/or a party to a threat to the peace, and/or a party to a breach of the peace, and/or a party to an act of aggression, the UN shall respect the nation of the quorum's security description as being a party so, until respectively the General Assembly finds affirmatively, per greater than 56% of the General Assembly's subsequent vote under this Charter 's Chapter VI, and/or paragraph 3 of Article 52, and/or Chapter VII, that the nation isn't a party so.", or

<u>Amendment Proposal 2 for Chapter IV: The General Assembly . . .</u>
<u>Functions And Powers Article 10:</u>

"Article 10 - 1. The General Assembly may discuss any questions or any matters within the scope of the present Charter or relating to the powers and functions of any organs provided for in the present Charter, and, except as provided in

Article 12, may make recommendations to the Members of the United Nations or to the Security Council or to both on any such questions or matters.

Article 10 - 2. The General Assembly must at all times -- per the General Assembly's affirmative vote that equals at least greater than one-half of the General Assembly's total membership vote, -- specify for and provide a UN General Assembly International Security Quorum, that for all of the UN, provides an identification and description of any nation's current international security status. If upon receiving from the (UN General Assembly) International Security Quorum, the quorum's current security status description of a nation, the General Assembly finds to an affirmative extent of 44% or greater, in the General Assembly's finding decision vote under this Charter 's Chapter VI, and/or paragraph 3 of Article 52, and/or Chapter VII, that respectively currently the nation of the quorum's security description is of other than U.N. deployment, a party to a dispute, and/or a party to a threat to the peace, and/or a party to a breach of the peace, and/or a party to an act of aggression, the UN shall respect the nation of the quorum's security description as being a party so, until respectively the General Assembly finds affirmatively, per greater than 56% of the General Assembly's subsequent vote under this Charter 's Chapter VI, and/or paragraph 3 of Article 52, and/or Chapter VII, that the nation isn't a party so.", or

Article 18: "Article 18 - 4. Per this exception to subArticle 18 - 2, if upon receiving from a UN General Assembly International Security quorum, -- that at all times, is of at least greater than one-half of the UN General Assembly's membership, ratified completely as being currently specifically valid to recognize for all of the UN, any nation's international security status,-- the quorum's current security status description of a nation, the UN General

Assembly votes 44% or greater affirmatively, in the General Assembly's vote decision under this Charter 's Chapter VI, and/or paragraph 3 of Article 52, and/or Chapter VII, that currently respectively the nation of the quorum's security description is of other than U.N. deployment, a party to a dispute, and/or a party to a threat to the peace, and/or a party to a breach of the peace, and/or a party to an act of aggression; the UN shall respect the nation of the quorum's security description as being a party so, until respectively the General Assembly finds affirmatively, per greater than 56% of the General Assembly's subsequent vote under this Charter 's Chapter VI, and/or paragraph 3 of Article 52, and/or Chapter VII, that the nation isn't a party so."

Herewith now I vote for only one amendment proposal, of any of the following four amendment proposals 1,1-1, and 2 for U.N. Charter Chapter V Article 27, and 1 for U.N. Charter Chapter VII Article 51, to be ratified into the U.N. Charter:

# Amendment Proposal 1 for U.N. Charter Chapter V: The Security Council... Voting Article 27:

"(3)(a) Decisions of the Security Council on all other matters shall be made by an affirmative vote of nine members of the Security Council, provided that each United Nations (U.N.) Security Council member nation, that – for example, of physical deployment of its national public security force, — in any decision under Chapter VI, and/or under paragraph 3 of Article 52, is of other than U.N. deployment a party to a dispute that the decision specifically pertains to, or in any decision under Chapter VII, is a non-U.N.-deployed party to a threat to the peace, and/or to a breach of the peace, and/or to an act of aggression that the decision specifically pertains to, must — to remain in compliance with this Charter — abstain from voting in the decision; and for both each voting-abstention-required Security Council member nation so, and each voting-

abstention-required Security Council decision so, a U.N. member nation that then

- (1) is not a Security Council member, and affirmatively per greater than at least 56% of the General Assembly's then expedited complete vote, or per the General Assembly's then expedited designation, of a majority of the (Article 10-2) International Security Quorum, is certified as not being
- (a) a party of other than U.N. deployment, to the same Chapter VI, and/or same paragraph 3 of Article 52 dispute, and/or same Chapter VII threat, peace breach, and/or aggression, that the required to abstain from voting Security Council member nation must, as a non-U.N.-deployed party of, abstain from voting in a decision on, and
- (b) a non-U.N.-deployed party to any materially physically violent civil trespass conflict that, and/or physically obstructive material, energy, and/or social media production trespass controversy that the U.N. Security Council voting abstention-required decision specifically pertains to;

to remain in compliance with this Charter, and to temporarily substitute serve in the voting-abstention-required decision, in place of and for only one of however many voting-abstention-required Security Council member nations then are required to abstain from voting in the decision, must of the U.N. General Assembly per a random selection process that at least greater than one-half of the U.N. General Assembly's membership constituency has agreed to then use, be randomly selected to temporarily serve in the Security Council as a Security Council temporary member, that then is able to exercise the voting-abstention-required Security Council member nation's full optional and voluntary Security Council member nation voting right -- including the Security Council member nation's optional and voluntary voting abstention right - only for voting in the decision that the voting-abstention-required

Security Council member nation mandatorily was required to abstain from voting in.

(3)(b) If due to the paragraph (3)(a) Security Council member substitution requirement of this Article, enough United Nations member nations to comprise and vote in a Security Council members-only Ch. V Article 23 decision vote, can't be selected for a decision, each of all, if any, Security Council members - including all Security Council temporary members -- that then are qualified and selected to vote in the decision, plus, per random draw from all -if any -- of the remaining Security Council members that then were required per this Article to abstain from voting in the decision, each of only enough of those remaining Security Council members, to if then possible, provide the Security Council with the rest of the members that may, per Ch. V Article 23 and Article 27 of this charter, vote in the decision; or if that draw is yet insufficient to provide enough Security Council members so to vote, then additionally -- per random draw from all of the remaining U.N. members, if any, that then aren't selected to vote in the decision -- each of only enough of the remaining U.N. members, to in addition to and together with all of any U.N. members that then are qualified and selected of this Article to vote in the decision, vote decisively per Ch. V Article 23, or that then being impossible of Security Council numerical constituency, per Ch. V Article 27 of this charter in the decision; or if then that draw is yet insufficient to provide enough Security Council members so to vote, each U.N. member -- if any -- that the U.N. then is comprised of, so as to provide the decision of a U.N. vote, whereof the greatest majority vote, or a tie of opposite votes, or a unanimous abstention of all of the voters of the vote's total results, must prevail to resolve the decision, must -- to remain in compliance with this Charter -- either vote or abstain from voting in the decision.

(4) Every U.N. Security Council member or optionally and voluntarilyexercised vote abstention in a decision, must be counted as both one-half vote for and one-half vote against passage of the decision.", or

# <u>Amendment Proposal 1-1 for U.N. Charter Chapter V: The Security Council...Voting Article 27:</u>

- "(3)(a) Decisions of the Security Council on all other matters shall be made by an affirmative vote of nine members, including all if any -- concurring votes that in the decision are cast of the permanent members that do not abstain from then voting; provided that each U.N. Security Council member nation, that for example, of physical deployment of its national public security force, -- in any decision under Chapter VI, and/or under paragraph 3 of Article 52, is of other than U.N. deployment a party to a dispute that the decision specifically pertains to, or in any decision under Chapter VII, is a non-U.N.-deployed party to a threat to the peace, and/or to a breach of the peace, and/or to an act of aggression that the decision specifically pertains to, must -- to remain in compliance with this Charter -- abstain from voting in the decision; and for each Security Council member nation that is so required to abstain from voting, a U.N. member nation that then
- (1) is not a Security Council member, and affirmatively per greater than at least 56% of the General Assembly's then expedited complete vote, or per the General Assembly's then expedited designation, of a majority of the (Article 10-2) International Security Quorum, is certified as not being
- (a) a party of other than U.N. deployment, to the same Chapter VI, and/or same paragraph 3 of Article 52 dispute, and/or same Chapter VII threat, peace breach, and/or aggression, that the required to abstain from voting Security

Council member nation must, as a non-U.N.-deployed party of, abstain from voting in a decision on, and

(b) a non-U.N.-deployed party to any materially physically violent civil trespass conflict that, and/or physically obstructive material, energy, and/or social media production trespass controversy that the U.N. Security Council voting abstention-required decision specifically pertains to;

to remain in compliance with this Charter, and to temporarily substitute serve in the (abstention-required) decision in place of and for only one of however many Security Council member nations then are required (of this Article) to abstain from voting in the decision, must of the U.N. General Assembly per a random selection process that at least greater than 50% of the U.N. General Assembly's membership constituency has agreed to then use, be randomly selected to temporarily serve in the Security Council as a Security Council temporary member, that then is able to exercise the required to abstain from voting Security Council member nation's full optional and voluntary Security Council member nation voting the required to abstain from voting Security Council member nation's optional and voluntary voting abstention right – only for voting in the decision that the required to abstain from voting Security Council member nation mandatorily was required to abstain from voting Security Council member nation mandatorily was required to abstain from voting from voting in.

(3)(b) If due to the Article 27(3)(a) Security Council member substitution requirement, enough United Nations member nations to comprise and vote in a Ch. V Article 23 Security Council members-only decision vote, can't be selected for a decision, each of all, if any, Security Council members – including all Security Council temporary members — that then are qualified and selected to vote in the decision, plus per random draw from all of the remaining Security Council members, if any, that then were required per this Article to abstain

from voting in the decision, each of only enough of those remaining Security Council members, to provide the Security Council with the rest of -- if then possible, or if then not possible, at least the minimum quantity of -- the members that may, per Ch. V Article 23 and Article 27 of this charter, vote in the decision; or if then that draw is yet insufficient to provide enough Security Council members so to vote, then additionally to that draw's results, per random draw from all of the remaining U.N. members, if any, that then haven't been selected to participate in the decision vote, each of only enough of the remaining U.N. members, to in addition to and together with all of the U.N. members, if any, that then have been qualified and selected of this Article to vote in the decision, vote decisively per Ch. V Article 27 of this charter in the decision; or if then that draw is yet insufficient to provide enough Security Council members so to vote, all U.N. members -- if any -- that the U.N. then is comprised of, must -- to remain in compliance with this Charter -- either vote or abstain from voting in the decision.

(4) Every U.N. Security Council member optionally and voluntarily-exercised vote abstention in a decision, must be counted as both one-half vote for and one-half vote against passage of the decision.", or

Amendment Proposal 2 for U.N. Charter Chapter V: The Security Council... Voting Article 27:

(4) Every U.N. Security Council member mandatorily-exercised or optionally and voluntarily-exercised vote abstention in a decision, must be counted as both one-half vote for and one-half vote against passage of the decision."

<u>Amendment Proposal 1 for U.N. Chapter VII: Action with Respect to Threats to the Peace, Breaches of the Peace, and Acts of Aggression Article 51:</u>

- "(1) Nothing in the present Charter shall impair the inherent right of individual or collective self-defence if an armed attack occurs against a Member of the United Nations, until the Security Council has taken measures necessary to maintain international peace and security. Measures taken by Members in the exercise of this right of self-defence shall be immediately reported to the Security Council and shall not in any way affect the authority and responsibility of the Security Council under the present Charter to take at any time such action as it deems necessary in order to maintain or restore international peace and security.
- (2) When any member of the Chapter V Article 23 United Nations Security Council members, is for example, of physical deployment of its national public security force, a non-U.N.-deployed party to a Chapter VI dispute, and/or to a paragraph 3 of Article 52 dispute, and/or to a Chapter VII threat to the peace, and/or breach of the peace, and/or act of aggression, that the U.N. Security Council is voting on in a decision, each U.N. Security Council member that is a party so, must to remain in compliance with this Charter, abstain from voting in each U.N. Security Council decision that specifically pertains to the dispute, and/or threat to the peace, and/or breach of the peace, and/or act of aggression that the member is so a party to; and for both each Security Council member nation that is so required to abstain from voting, and each so abstention-required decision, a U.N. member nation that then
- (a) is not a Security Council member, and affirmatively per greater than at least 56% of the General Assembly's then expedited complete vote, or per the General Assembly's then expedited designation, of a majority of the (Article 10-2) International Security Quorum, is certified as not being
- (1) a party of other than U.N. deployment, to the same Chapter VI, and/or same paragraph 3 of Article 52 dispute, and/or same Chapter VII threat, peace

breach, and/or aggression, that the required to abstain from voting Security Council member nation must, as a non-U.N.-deployed party of, abstain from voting in a decision on, and

(2) a non-U.N.-deployed party to any materially physically violent civil trespass conflict that, and/or physically obstructive material, energy, and/or social media production trespass controversy that the U.N. Security Council voting abstention-required decision specifically pertains to;

to temporarily substitute serve in the abstention-required decision in place of and for only the Security Council member nation that is so required to abstain from voting, and to remain in compliance with this Charter, must of the U.N. General Assembly per a random selection process that the U.N. General Assembly of its minimum majority – i.e., any majority that is greater than 50% – has agreed to then use, be randomly selected to temporarily serve in the Security Council as a Security Council temporary member, that then is able to exercise the required to abstain from voting Security Council member's full optional and voluntary Security Council voting right – including the member's optional and voluntary voting abstention right – only for voting in the decision that the required to abstain from voting Security Council member nation mandatorily was required to abstain from voting in.

Every U.N. Security Council member optionally and voluntarily-exercised vote abstention in a decision, must be counted as both one-half vote for and one-half vote against passage of the decision.

(3) If due to the Article (51)(2) Security Council member substitution requirement, enough United Nations member nations to comprise and vote in a Security Council members-only Ch. V Article 23 decision vote, can't be selected for a decision, each of all, if any, Security Council members – including all Security Council temporary members — that then are qualified and selected to

vote in the decision, plus, per random draw from all -- if any -- of the remaining Security Council members that then were required per this Article to abstain from voting in the decision, each of only enough of the remaining Security Council members, to if then possible, provide the Security Council with the rest of the members that may, per Ch. V Article 23 and Article 27 of this charter, vote in the decision; or if that draw is yet insufficient to provide enough Security Council members so to vote, then additionally -- per random draw from all of the remaining U.N. members, if any, that then aren't selected to vote in the decision -- each of only enough of the remaining U.N. members, to in addition to and together with all of any U.N. members that then are qualified and selected of this Article to vote in the decision, vote decisively per Ch. V Article 23, or that then being impossible of Security Council numerical constituency, per Ch. V Article 27 of this charter in the decision; or if then that draw is yet insufficient to provide enough Security Council members so to vote, each U.N. member -- if any -- that the U.N. then is comprised of, so as to provide the decision of a U.N. vote, whereof the greatest majority vote, or a tie of opposite votes, or a unanimous abstention of all of the voters of the vote's total results, must prevail to resolve the decision, must either vote or abstain from voting in the decision."

\_\_\_\_\_

# <u>Herewith now I provide – though I don't vote for – the following acceptable alternative Amendment Proposal 2</u>:

## Alternative Amendment Proposal 2 for U.N. Chapter VII, Article 51:

"(2) Each member of the Chapter V Article 23 United Nations Security Council members, that – for example, of physical deployment of its national public

security force, -- is a non-U.N.-deployed party to a Chapter VI dispute, and/or to a paragraph 3 of Article 52 dispute, and/or to a Chapter VII threat to the peace, breach of the peace, and/or act of aggression, that the U.N. Security Council is voting on in a decision, must to remain in compliance with this Charter, abstain from voting in each U.N. Security Council decision that specifically pertains to the dispute, and/or threat to the peace, and/or breach of the peace, and/or act of aggression that the member is so a party to; and for both each Security Council voting-abstention decision so required, and each Security Council member nation that in the decision is so required to abstain from voting, a U.N. member nation that then is not a Security Council member, and that

- (a) affirmatively per greater than at least 56% of the General Assembly's then expedited complete vote, or per the General Assembly's then expedited designation, of a majority of the (Article 10-2) International Security Quorum, is certified as not being
- (1) a party of other than U.N. deployment, to the same Chapter VI, and/or same paragraph 3 of Article 52 dispute, and/or same Chapter VII threat, peace breach, and/or aggression, that the required to abstain from voting Security Council member nation must, as a non-U.N.-deployed party of, abstain from voting in a decision on, and
- (2) a non-U.N.-deployed party to any materially physically violent civil trespass conflict that, and/or physically obstructive material, energy, and/or social media production trespass controversy that the U.N. Security Council voting abstention-required decision specifically pertains to;

to remain in compliance with this Charter, must of the U.N. General Assembly, per a random selection process that at least greater than one-half of the U.N. General Assembly's total membership constituency has agreed to then use, be randomly selected to substitute serve temporarily in the (voting-abstention-

required ) decision as a Security Council temporary member, that per cumulative service substitution in the decision for no more than one of all nations so required to abstain from voting in the decision, is able to exercise the (afore-specified) required to abstain from voting Security Council member's full Security Council optional and voluntary voting right -- including its optional and voluntary voting abstention right -- only for voting in the (voting-abstention-required ) decision that the (afore-specified mandatorily) vote-abstaining Security Council member (mandatorily of this Article) was required to abstain from voting in; and

- (b) if in any decision vote, at least three of the Security Council permanent members, each that may be a Security Council member that, in consequence of this Article, must serve as a temporary Security Council member substitute for a permanent Security Council member, each vote against the decision, the decision then is vetoed conclusively of the three votes, and the decision is not passed of the decision vote.
- (c) Every U.N. Security Council member optionally and voluntarily-exercised vote abstention in a decision, must be counted as both one-half vote for and one-half vote against passage of the decision."
- (3) If due to this Article's paragraph (2) Security Council member substitution requirement, enough United Nations member nations to comprise and vote in a Ch. V Article 23 Security Council members-only decision vote, can't be selected for a decision, each of all, if any, Security Council members including all Security Council temporary members that then are qualified and selected to vote in the decision, plus per random draw from all of the remaining Security Council members, if any, that then were required per this Article to abstain from voting in the decision, each of only enough of those remaining Security Council members, to provide the Security Council with the rest of if then

possible, or if then not possible, at least the minimum quantity of -- the members that may, per Ch. V Article 23 and Article 27 of this charter, vote in the decision; or if then that draw is yet insufficient to provide enough Security Council members so to vote, then additionally to that draw's results, per random draw from all of the remaining U.N. members, if any, that then haven't been selected to participate in the decision vote, each of only enough of the remaining U.N. members, to in addition to and together with all of the U.N. members, if any, that then have been qualified and selected of this Article to vote in the decision, vote decisively per Ch. V Article 27 of this charter in the decision; or if then that draw is yet insufficient to provide enough Security Council members so to vote, all U.N. members -- if any -- that the U.N. then is comprised of, must either vote or abstain from voting in the decision."

<u>U.N. Charter Chapter V Article 28</u> states: "1. The Security Council shall be so organized as to be able to function continuously. Each member of the Security Council shall for this purpose be represented at all times at the seat of the Organization. 2. The Security Council shall hold periodic meetings at which each of its members may, if it so desires, be represented by a member of the government or by some other specially designated representative".

{Versions 05/08/2025 approx. 09:12 A.M.}

A United Nations contact email page has been available at: <a href="https://www.un.org/en/contact-us-0">https://www.un.org/en/contact-us-0</a>.

The "United States Mission to the United Nations" "Contact Us" page, has been available at: <a href="https://usun.usmission.gov/mission/contact-us/">https://usun.usmission.gov/mission/contact-us/</a>. Their street address is: United States Mission to the United Nations, 799 United Nations Plaza, New York, NY 10017.

Per the internet, we also may vote comment with the U.S.A. Department of State, of: <a href="https://register.state.gov/contactus/contactusform">https://register.state.gov/contactus/contactusform</a>.



## (2) East Asia with East Asia Visitation Petition

Taiwan island has belonged to the Asian mainland Chinese government during most of recent past centuries. Thus during the 1949 Chinese civil war, nationalist Chinese President Chiang Kai-shek was able to legally retreat nationalist Chinese military to Taiwan, and then administer Taiwan as Taiwan being owned of the 1949 Chinese civil war-established "People's Republic of China" government, and as a "People's Republic of China" government tolerated, "Republic of China" Chinese island. Taiwan's "Republic of China" government status, is therefore similar to the United States of America (U.S.A.) Constitution Article IV Section 4 guaranteed, "Republican form of government", that "every State in" the U.S.A. States "Union" has. Per the 1949 Chinese civil war-established "People's Republic of China" China government, the Chinese in no way relinquished largely Asian continental China's Chinese government ownership of Taiwan island.

The U.S.A. has successfully peacefully maintained peace with Cuba, per Cuban emigrant enlistees in the U.S. Navy, and since 1903, with U.S.A. peaceful rent of the Cuban Guantanamo Bay, U.S.A. naval facility area. Similarly, Taiwan's Republic of China government has a substantially **good** opportunity for successfully maintaining peace with China's People's Republic of China government, per Taiwan Republic of China government renting peacefully Taiwan island location naval base areas with China's People's Republic of China government, for peaceful People's Republic of China government Chinese military naval base naval installations and operations.

Therefore herewith now I vote for the Taiwan island Republic of China government to rent peacefully Taiwan island location naval base areas with China's People's Republic of China government, for peaceful People's Republic of China government Chinese military naval base naval installations and operations on Taiwan island.

(An interesting aside here concerning the U.N. Security Council's five founding nations

permanent membership, is that the Republic of China -- that after the 1949 Chinese Civil War, became the Republic of China of Taiwan -- Administration of Chiang Kai-Shek, was the original Chinese U.N.-founding government. Since on Oct. 25, 1971 the United Nations recognized the People's Republic of China as the only legitimate representative of China in the U.N., the representatives of the Chiang Kai-Shek Administration were removed from the U.N., with the result that Taiwan has never been a U.N. member, and the Asian mainland People's Republic of China is now one of the U.N. Security Council's five U.N.-founding nations (China, France, Russia Federation, United Kingdom, United States of America) permanent members.)

Of the United Nations current 193 independent nations membership, apparently only 13 U.N. Nations – or 13/193 = 6.74%%-- recognize Taiwan as independent of the People's Republic of China. Thus international extremist policies, that per symmetry promote a scalable to major national powers, combative involvement, warfaring conflict that is prefaced to decide whether China's 1949 Civil War, divested and seceded Taiwan from the largely Asian continental People's Republic of China government ownership of Taiwan, may appear like a simplistic challenge against and/or for humanity's global political peace and vital security; however the Chinese appear here to have enough self-appreciation, to value Taiwan as an international liason "mobius strip sides" type of opportunity, for simultaneously coexisting together peacefully with the rest of humanity, while avoiding alienating themselves perpetually to humanity. So, though "the market shall vary", the Chinese have found contentment retaining Chinese ownership of Taiwan.

Civil variety, such as the historic and former 99 year Chinese international rental of Hong Kong China with the British in 1898, and the historic and former year 1557 Chinese international rental of Macau with the Portuguese, that resulted in Portugal transferring sovereignty for Macau to China in 1999, has proven wise for world security and political peace overtures.

During a 02/22 - 24/2024 interval I twice asked the same computer Artificial Intelligence (AI): "How many independent nations currently are members of the United Nations, and what is the political recognition of those nations concerning government of Taiwan?"

The following excerpts are from AI's two different replies: "According to the UN website, there are currently 193 member states of the United Nations. The UN also has two non-member observer states: the Holy See and the State of Palestine. Taiwan, formally known as the Republic of China (ROC), is not a member of the UN, nor is it recognized by most of its members. The ROC currently has formal diplomatic relations with only 11 UN member states

and the Holy See. The People's Republic of China (PRC), which claims Taiwan as a province, has diplomatic relations with 182 UN member states and is a permanent member of the UN Security Council. The political status of Taiwan is a complex and contentious issue, with different views and proposals from various parties, both within and outside the island. The PRC has proposed a "one country, two systems" model for unification, but this has been rejected by the Taiwanese government. The ROC has sought to maintain its effective sovereignty and international recognition, while also pursuing pragmatic cooperation and dialogue with the PRC. The United States, which does not have formal diplomatic ties with the ROC, has been a major supporter and ally of Taiwan, providing it with security assistance and economic cooperation. The US also maintains a "One China" policy, which acknowledges the PRC's position on Taiwan, but does not recognize or support it.

However, the recognition of Taiwan as a separate sovereign country is a complex matter due to China's One-China policy and historical intricacies.

Here's the situation regarding Taiwan's recognition:
Countries Recognizing Taiwan as a Sovereign Country:
Belize, Guatemala, Haiti, Holy See (Vatican City), Honduras, Marshall Islands, Nauru, Palau,
Paraguay, Saint Kitts and Nevis, Saint Lucia, Saint Vincent and the Grenadines, and Tuvalu
are the 13 UN member states that officially recognize Taiwan as a sovereign country.
Vatican City also acknowledges Taiwan's sovereignty.

#### **Historical Context:**

Taiwan was recognized as a country by the United Nations from 1949 to 1971. However, due to political tensions with China, Taiwan is currently not a UN member. It is classified as a territory rather than a sovereign state. China asserts that Taiwan is an integral part of its territory, and its powerful position in the UN Security Council has prevented Taiwan's inclusion in the UN.

United States and Taiwan: The United States maintained Taiwan's recognition for 30 years after the Chinese civil war but switched its recognition in 1979. Despite this, the U.S. maintains a positive relationship with Taiwan, offering military assistance," ("In the councils of government, we must guard against the acquisition of unwarranted influence, whether sought or unsought, by the military-industrial complex. The potential for the disastrous rise of misplaced power exists and will persist." D.D. Eisenhower 01/17/1961 Farewell Address.) "which has caused tension with China.

In summary, while Taiwan is not a UN member, it remains a significant economic force in Asia and a global leader in technology, even without full diplomatic recognition."

I also then twice asked the same computer AI: "Which, if any, nations of the world, currently formally recognize the Taiwan Republic of China as existing as an independent nation of the world?"

The following excerpts are from AI's two different replies: "As of now, 13 countries and Vatican City (Holy See) formally recognize the Republic of China (ROC), commonly known as Taiwan, as an independent sovereign nation. Here is the list of those countries:" (following list punctuation is mine) "Belize, Guatemala, Haiti, Holy See (Vatican City), Honduras, Marshall Islands, Nauru, Palau, Paraguay, Saint Kitts and Nevis, Saint Lucia, Saint Vincent and the Grenadines, Tuvalu.

Despite its limited formal recognition, Taiwan maintains significant unofficial global relations and receives considerable military support from the United States," ("In the councils of government, we must guard against the acquisition of unwarranted influence, whether sought or unsought, by the military-industrial complex. The potential for the disastrous rise of misplaced power exists and will persist." D.D. Eisenhower 01/17/1961 Farewell Address.) "although diplomatic constraints are imposed by China. Taiwan's complex status has evolved over time, and its recognition remains a delicate matter due to historical and political intricacies with China."

... "However, most of the world's countries, including the United States, do not have official diplomatic relations with Taiwan, and instead acknowledge the One China policy of the People's Republic of China, which claims Taiwan as a province. The political status of Taiwan is a complex and sensitive issue, with different views and proposals from various parties, both within and outside the island."

\_\_\_\_\_

Hopefully here we may help the "ugly American", to avoid selfishly claiming that "if we don't annex Taiwan, someone else will". China, U.S.A., U.K. and some other nations, are much about participating in world trade, per international residence in foreign trading posts.

## (3) Petition for Dental Access Without X-rays Legal Clause

I support and herewith vote for the United States of America (USA) Congress to enact into law, a USA "Dental Patients Bill of Rights" clause, that declares to the effect that:

"No dental patient may legally be denied dental care for refusing to be X-rayed, (1) if the dental patient — being intellectually competent and adequately informed of both the dental patient's previous X-ray exposure-based current risk of, and the dental patient's dental care provider's current best dentist-estimated extent of, new initial and cumulative tissue damage and cancer risk, that the dental patient may sustain from the dental patient receiving

every dental X-ray that the dental patient's dental care provider proposes for the dental patient to receive — without being or having been coerced to sign, voluntarily signs or has voluntarily signed, or (2) if the dental patient is a ward dental patient, such as a minor dental patient, and is intellectually competent and adequately informed of both the dental patient's previous X-ray exposure-based current risk of, and the dental patient's dental care provider's current best dentist-estimated extent of, new initial and cumulative tissue damage and cancer risk, that the dental patient may sustain from the dental patient receiving every dental X-ray that the dental patient's dental care provider proposes for the dental patient to receive; and both the dental patient and the dental patient's intellectually competent legal guardian. — who also is adequately informed of both the dental patient's previous X-ray exposure-based current risk of, and the dental patient's dental care provider's current best dentist-estimated extent of, new initial and cumulative tissue damage and cancer risk, that the dental patient may sustain from the dental patient receiving every dental X-ray that the dental patient's dental care provider proposes for the dental patient to receive — without being or having been coerced to sign, voluntarily separately sign or have separately voluntarily signed, or (3) if the dental patient is not intellectually competent and is a ward dental patient, such as a minor dental patient, and the dental patient's intellectually competent legal guardian, — who is adequately informed of both the dental patient's previous X-ray exposure-based current risk of, and the dental patient's dental care provider's current best dentist-estimated extent of. new initial and cumulative tissue damage and cancer risk, that the dental patient may sustain from the dental patient receiving every dental X-ray, that the dental patient's dental care provider proposes for the dental patient to receive — without being or having been coerced to sign, voluntarily signs or has voluntarily signed

a legally valid and binding "Waiver of Dental Treatment Liability", that denies all permission for the dental patient to, in a specific dental treatment that is of the dental patient's dental care provider that is specified in the waiver, be dentally X-rayed, and that renounces from all of the dental patient's dental care provider's dental personnel, who provide anatomical and/or counseling dental treatment to the dental patient, all legal responsibility that the dental personnel could have, for of a dental treatment that the dental personnel are qualified to administer, causing an accidental dental treatment error to the dental patient, where the error is due to the "Waiver of Dental Treatment Liability" -- per the waiver's legal signature of the aforesaid (1) dental patient, or per the waiver's legal signatures of the aforesaid (2) dental patient and the dental patient's intellectually competent legal guardian, or per the waiver's legal signature of the aforesaid (3) dental patient's intellectually competent legal guardian -- denying permission for the dental patient to be dentally X-rayed."

Herewith immediately following, is an example of a Waiver of Dental Treatment Liability form, that may be adequate to receive of, dental treatment without being X-rayed for that dental treatment:

### Waiver of Dental Treatment Liability

Herewith I,	(Dental Patient's Name), now this						
			(Me	onth, Da	ay Number,	and	
Year), waive as legall	y not binding for me, a	Il legal respo	onsibility tl	hat both	n my dentis	t, Dr.	
	, and Dr		's d	ental as	ssistants co	ould have	
•	ental dental treatment entaind/or refusing to be de	error to me, t entally X-ray	hat is due	to me	preferring r	ot to be	
			(Dental Pa	atient's	Signature	or Mark	



Board of Dentistry 1500 SW 1st Ave. Ste 770 Portland, OR 97201-5837 (971) 673-3200 Fax: (971) 673-3202

#### Clarification on Radiographs

The Oregon Board of Dentistry (Board) regularly receives questions about the requirement for radiographs/Xrays, and how often they are required.

The decision when to take or not to take radiographs is the responsibility of an Oregon licensed Dentist or an Expanded Practice Permit Dental Hygienist and is based on factors including the patient's oral health, patient's age, the risk for disease and any sign or symptoms of oral disease that a patient may be experiencing.

The Board does not have a time requirement for how often radiographs or X-rays are to be taken. So if your Dentist says we (the Board) require X-rays every year, that is not true. The Dentist is the one who decides if the radiographs are needed, not the patient. They are an important diagnostic tool and it is the responsibility of the treating Dentist to determine how often they are needed.

The Board takes the following into consideration when it reviews care provided by our Licensees:

Oregon Revised Statute (ORS) 679.140(4) states:

"In determining what constitutes unacceptable patient care, the board may take into account all relevant factors and practices, including but not limited to the practices generally and currently followed and accepted by persons licensed to practice Dentistry in this state, the current teachings at accredited dental schools, relevant technical reports published in recognized dental journals and the desirability of reasonable experimentation in the furtherance of the dental arts."

To put this in perspective, in order to diagnose dental pathology and do an adequate examination on a new or existing patient, the Dentist must have adequate dental radiographs, periodontal probings if appropriate and a current medical history.

If during the dental examination pathology is diagnosed, the Dentist is obligated to tell the patient what the problem is, to explain the treatment options, explain the risks of providing or not providing the treatment, and answer questions. The Dentist is also required to document in the patient's records any dental pathology that is diagnosed during the examination. When treatment is provided, the Dentist is expected to have obtained the patient's informed consent prior to providing the treatment. The Board expects that the treatment is acceptable; i.e. crowns fit appropriately, restorations are not placed over caries, and that periodontal disease is treated (including home health maintenance instruction).

Further, Oregon Dentists and Expanded Practice Permit Dental Hygienists should follow the guidelines established by the American Dental Association and the Food and Drug

Administration regarding the attached document.

Please call if you have additional questions or need further information. The rules regulating Dentistry are at this site: <a href="http://www.oregon.gov/Dentistry/Pages/laws-rules.aspx">http://www.oregon.gov/Dentistry/Pages/laws-rules.aspx</a>

TYPE OF ENCOUNTER	gly recommended for children, women of childbearing age and pregnant women.  PATIENT AGE AND DENTAL DEVELOPMENTAL STAGE							
	Child With Primary Dentition (Prior to Eruption of First Permanent Tooth)	Child With Transitional Dentition (After Eruption of First Permanent Tooth)	Adolescent With Permanent Dentition (Prior to Eruption of Third Molars)	Adult, Dentate or Partially Edentulous	Adult, Edentulous			
New Patient <sup>†</sup> Being Evaluated for Dental Diseases and Dental Development	Individualized radiographic examination consisting of selected periapical/occlusal views and/or posterior bitewings if proximal surfaces cannot be visualized or probed; patients without evidence of disease and with open proximal contacts may not require a radiographic examination at this time	Individualized radiographic examination consisting of posterior bitewings with panoramic examination or posterior bitewings and selected periapical images	Individualized radiographic exam posterior bitewings with panorar posterior bitewings and selected full-mouth intraoral radiographic ferred when the patient has clini alized dental disease or a history treatment	nic examination or periapical images; a : examination is pre- cal evidence of gener-	Individualized radiographic examination, based on clini- cal signs and symptoms			
Recall Patient <sup>†</sup> With Clinical Caries or at Increased Risk of Developing Caries <sup>‡</sup>	Posterior bitewing examination at six- to 12-month intervals if proximal surfaces cannot be examined visually or with a probe			Posterior bitewing examination at six- to 18-month intervals	Not applicable			
Recall Patient* With No Clinical Caries and Not at Increased Risk of Developing Caries <sup>‡</sup>	Posterior bitewing examination at 12-to 24-month intervals if proximal surfaces cannot be examined visually or with a probe  Posterior bitewing examination at 12-to 24-month intervals if at 18- to 36-month intervals.			Posterior bitewing examination at 24- to 36-month intervals	Not applicable			
Recall Patient <sup>†</sup> With Periodontal Disease	Clinical judgment as to the need for and type of radiographic images for the evaluation of periodontal disease; imaging may consist of, but is not limited to, selected bitewing and/or periapical images of areas in which periodontal disease (other than nonspecific gingivitis) can be demonstrated clinically							
Patient for Monitoring of Growth and Development	Clinical judgment as to need for an images for evaluation and/or monit and development		Clinical judgment as to need for and type of radiographic images for evaluation and/or monitor- ing of dentofacial growth and development; panoramic or periapical examination to assess developing third molars					
Patient With Other Circumstances Including, but not Limited to, Proposed or Existing Implants, Pathology, Restorative/Endodontic Needs, Treated Periodontal Disease and Caries Remineralization	Clinical judgment as to need for and							
* Reprinted from U.S. Department of Health Scientific Affairs. 5 † Clinical situations for which radiographs metrauma, familial history of dental anomalie symptoms: clinical evidence of periodonta sinus tract ("fistula", clinically suspected search foreign objects, pain and/or dysfunction of tunusual eruption, spacing or migration of the Factors increasing risk for caries may inclured to the properties of	nay be indicated include, but are not limited is, postoperative evaluation of healing, rem I disease, large or deep restorations, deep c inus pathology, growth abnormalities, oral, the temporomandibular joint, facial asymm is the timporomandibular joint, propored is inadequate fluoride exposure, propored	d to, the following. Positive histo ineralization monitoring, presence arious lesions, malposed or clinical involvement in known or suspecte etry, abutment teeth for fixed or re on or color, missing teeth with unk gh level of caries experience or der nursing (bottle or breast), diet with	rical findings: Previous periodontal or of implants or evaluation for implant p ly impacted teeth, swelling, evidence of d systemic disease, positive neurologic t emovable partial prosthesis, unexplaine nown reason, clinical erosion. nineralization, history of recurrent caric th high sucrose frequency, poor family d	endodontic treatment, his lacement. Positive clinic dental/facial trauma, mot indings in the head and n d bleeding, unexplained se es, high titers of cariogenic ental health, development	tory of pain or al signs/ ility of teeth, eck, evidence of insitivity of teeth, bacteria, existing al or acquired			

Here is a shortened form of the aforegiven "Petition for Dental Access Without X-rays Legal Clause":

## {Petition for Dental Access Without X-rays Legal Clause.

I support and herewith vote for the USA Congress to enact into law, a "Dental Patients Bill of Rights" clause, that declares to the effect that: "No dental patient may legally be denied dental care for refusing to be X-rayed, (1) if the dental patient ... (2)and/(3)or... patient's... legal guardian, without being coerced to sign, voluntarily signs a legally valid and binding "Waiver of Dental Treatment Liability", that denies all permission for the dental patient to, in a specific dental treatment that is of the dental patient's dental care provider that is specified in the waiver, be dentally X-rayed, and that renounces from all of the dental patient's dental care provider's dental personnel, who provide anatomical and/or counseling dental treatment to the dental patient, all legal responsibility that the dental personnel could have, for of a dental treatment that the dental personnel are qualified to administer, causing an accidental dental treatment error to the dental patient, where the error is due to the "Waiver of Dental Treatment Liability" -- per the waiver's legal signature of the aforesaid (1) dental patient, or per the waiver's legal signatures of the aforesaid (2) dental patient and the dental patient's intellectually competent legal guardian, or per the waiver's legal signature of the aforesaid (3) dental patient's intellectually competent legal guardian -- denying permission for the dental patient to be dentally X-rayed."]

"Waiver of Dental Treatment Liability" form:

Herewith I, \_\_\_\_(Dental Patient's Name), now this\_\_\_\_(Month, Day Number, and Year), waive as legally not binding for me, all legal responsibility that both my dentist, Dr.\_\_\_, and Dr. \_\_\_ 's dental assistants could have for causing an accidental dental treatment error to me, that is due to me preferring not to be dentally X-rayed of, and/or refusing to be dentally X-rayed of Dr. \_\_\_'s dental care practice.\_\_\_\_\_(Dental Patient's Signature or Mark)

(4)

# Requirement for an Emergency Egress Manually Operable Window Crank, for Three and/or more-Wheeled hardtop Motor Vehicles

Once again the motor vehicle industry is **negligent** to provide necessary safety equipment (even without mentioning the safe "luxury" practicality of an <u>indoor</u>, highly flexible silicone brush, rear window wiper, for hardtop three and/or more-wheeled motor vehicles, that have an only-stationary rear window).

Thus herewith now I vote that the United States of America national Government should legally require, that all new manually steered, hardtop motor vehicles, that have a driver's side driver access door, and that when in operation on a road, usually have three or more road-encountering wheels, must have a driver's door-side manually operable driver's door-side window hand crank, that allows the driver to manually by hand with that side window hand crank, fully open and close the largest driver-side door window!

Currently 400 people per year are estimated to drown in their motor vehicle, apparently often because those people weren't able to lower a power or other window in their motor vehicle, so as to escape flooding in their motor vehicle.

(5)

# Requirement for Whistles, flashing Lights, and same color contrast Coloring, on large Windmill propeller Blade

Several years ago for an Oregon national Congressperson, I personally hand-delivered my petition that gave my vote for all new large wattage-generating windmills, to be required equipped with whistles and lights for bird and bat safety. Since then a European study has found, that from windmills that have only three propeller blades, fewer flying animal injuries result if one of the windmills' three propeller blades is painted a different color than

both of the other propeller blades are painted.

I was surprised to learn that some electricity-generating windmill farms, have been usually operated per human observers halting windmill operation, whenever birds that were prone to collide with windmills, were observed at hazard of windmill collision.

Herewith now I vote for the United States of America national Government to require that each new electricity-generating windmill, that forthwith is installed on United States of America property to generate power, and that has propeller blade that is longer than five feet long, must have a whistle – such as an air flow activated, high frequency sound pitch whistle – and a flashing LED light on each of the windmill's propeller blades, and must have an <u>odd</u> minority of the propeller blades, or in the case of only one or two propeller blades being present, must have 1/2 of only one propeller blade, painted the same one color, that is in contrast to a same different color that, along with other contrast color figure and/or contrast color character, all other propeller blade of the windmill has.

(6)

# Each U.S.A. State should have its own unique three Senators United States of America national Congress Representation

I estimate that constitutionally requiring 3 senators to represent each U.S.A. State in the U.S.A.'s national Congressional Senate, so that each U.S.A. State is uniquely represented individually in the U.S.A. national Senate, per three U.S.A. federal senators who each are separately elected from that individual U.S.A. State only, and who may not serve simultaneously as U.S.A. national Congressional senators from any other U.S.A. State or region, with the sole exception of those Senators' U.S.A. national senatorial service, that in consequence of those Senators being U.S.A. national Congressional senators of a U.S.A. State, concomitantly those Senators already perform simultaneously, in being and as U.S.A. national senators of the entire U.S.A. nation; would greatly improve both the U.S.A.'s public citizens' U.S.A. national Congressional Senate representation, and would greatly financially afford more than two political parties in the U.S.A.'s national Congress.

Therefore I herewith now vote that the Constitution of the United States of America, Amendment 17, be amended so that it states the same paragraph of only any one of the following four paragraphs:

[1] "The United States of America (U.S.A.) Senate shall be composed from each State, per each State's resident citizens uniquely electing for six year Senatorships from their State only, three U.S.A. national citizen candidates to serve as Senators, who are permanent State residents of only the State that they are elected to Senatorship of; and each Senator shall have one vote.", or

[2] "The United States of America (U.S.A.) Senate, shall be composed from each State, per

those State's resident citizens uniquely electing, for six year Senatorships that are from the citizens' State only, three U.S.A. citizen candidates to serve as Senators, who are permanent State residents of only the State that they are elected to Senatorship of; and each Senator shall have one vote.", or

[3] "The United States of America (U.S.A.) Senate, shall be composed from each State, per the State's resident citizens uniquely electing for six year Senatorships, that are from the electing citizens' single U.S.A. State only, three U.S.A. national citizen candidates to serve as Senators, who are permanent State residents of only the State that they are elected to Senatorship of; and each Senator shall have one vote.", or

[4] "The Senate of the United States shall be composed of three Senators from each State, elected by the people thereof, for six years; and each Senator shall have one vote."

U.S. Constitution Amendment 17 currently states: "The Senate of the United States shall be composed of two Senators from each State, elected by the people thereof, for six years; and each Senator shall have one vote."

Thank you Addressee for your help with this petition of my voluntary, noncoerced voting.

Respectfully yours,