

11/06/2024 Letter for U.S.A. U.N. Security Council Vote, for U.N. Forces Deployment for Maintenance of International Peace and Security for Kyiv Ukraine. (voted per "United

States Mission to the United Nations" "Contact Us" page, at: <https://usun.usmission.gov/mission/contact-us/> . Per the internet, we also may vote comment with the U.S.A.

Department of State, of:

<https://register.state.gov/contactus/contactusform> .)

“Herewith now I vote that the United Nations (U.N.) Security Council, should soon deploy U.N. peacekeeping forces to the city of Kyiv Ukraine, so that those U.N. peacekeeping forces will of their presence in the city of Kyiv, help maintain international peace and security for the city of Kyiv, so that Kyiv may securely of Ukrainian government possession of Kyiv, remain the Ukrainian nation's government's national capital of Ukraine.

Per elaboration of my vote, herewith now I vote that, as one of the five permanent member nations of the 15 member nation United Nations Security Council, the U.S. should vote -- recurrently if necessary -- in favor of soon sending United Nations peacekeeping forces to Kyiv Ukraine, for international peace and security maintenance for Ukraine's government capital city that is Kyiv Ukraine.

Recognizing that per a single veto from any one of the five -- including U.K., U.S.A., France, China, and Russia -- permanent UN Security Council member nations (!!!), should be a veto vote from each of any 3 of those 5, I find), any U.N. Security Council non-procedural matter measure fails; though without a permanent member veto vote and per a veto vote from any one of the ten two year U.N. Security Council member nations, in some of the Security Council voting summations, some non-procedural matter measures don't necessarily fail; the U.S.A. may need to recurrently vote of historical U.N. record, for U.N. force deployment for international peace and security support for Kyiv

Ukraine.

Also herewith now I vote for changing the U.N. Security Council measure election's U.N. Security Council permanent member nation veto vote, from a "any one U.N. Security Council permanent member nation veto vote terminates any U.N. Security Council non-procedural matter measure" type, to a "any U.N. Security Council non-procedural matter measure may be terminated per any three U.N. Security Council permanent member nation's veto votes together" type (e.g.: 14 abstentions and one permanent member veto vote, measure fails, lacks support; 9 "for" votes, 4 temporary member veto votes, and two permanent member veto votes, measure passes; 12 "for" votes and three permanent member veto votes, measure fails)."

The "United States Mission to the United Nations" "Contact Us" page, has been available at: <https://usun.usmission.gov/mission/contact-us/>. Their street address is: United States Mission to the United Nations, 799 United Nations Plaza, New York, NY 10017.

Letter for U.S.A. U.N. Forces Deployment Vote

(SENT){This is my four words revised, 299 word 11/06/2024 letter for newspaper publication.
Thanks!}:

As one of the five permanent member nations of the 15 member nation United Nations Security Council, the U.S. should vote -- recurrently if necessary -- in favor of soon sending United Nations forces to Kyiv Ukraine, for Ukraine's government capital Kyiv city security maintenance.

Recognizing that per a single veto from any one of the five -- including U.K., U.S.A., France, China, and Russia -- permanent UN Security Council member nations (!!!, should be a veto vote from each of any 3 of those 5, I find), any U.N. Security Council non-procedural matter measure fails; though without a permanent member veto vote and per a veto vote from

any one of the ten two year U.N. Security Council member nations, in some of the Security Council voting summations, some non-procedural matter measures don't necessarily fail; the U.S.A. may need to recurrently vote of historical U.N. record, for U.N. force deployment Kyiv city security maintenance.

The "United States Mission to the United Nations" "Contact Us" page, has been available at: <https://usun.usmission.gov/mission/contact-us/>. Their street address is: United States Mission to the United Nations, 799 United Nations Plaza, New York, NY 10017.

My vote for changing the U.N. Security Council measure election's U.N. Security Council permanent member nation veto vote, from a "any one U.N. Security Council permanent member nation veto vote terminates any U.N. Security Council non-procedural matter measure" type, to a "any U.N. Security Council non-procedural matter measure may be terminated per any three U.N. Security Council permanent member nation's veto votes together" type (e.g.: 14 abstentions and one permanent member veto vote, measure fails, lacks support; 9 "for" votes, 4 temporary member veto votes, and two permanent member veto votes, measure passes; 12 "for" votes and three permanent member veto votes, measure fails), is available at: <https://www.voterspetition.com/SaveKlamathRiverHydroelectricDams.html>.

[This is all that the newspaper published of my 11/06/2024 letter.
Sorry it didn't publish more!}:

"U.N. SHOULD SEND SECURITY FORCES TO KYIV

As one of the five permanent member nations of the 15-member nation United Nations Security Council, the U.S. should vote – recurrently, if necessary – in favor of soon sending U.N. forces to Kyiv, Ukraine, for the Ukraine government's capital security maintenance."

Letter for U.S.A. U.N. Forces Deployment Vote

{Here, with a different URL internet address, is my aforegiven 299 word 11/06/2024 letter, that is suitable both for newspaper publishing, and for copying and pasting to government officials.
Thanks!}:

As one of the five permanent member nations of the 15 member nation United Nations Security Council, the U.S. should vote -- recurrently if necessary -- in favor of soon sending United Nations forces to Kyiv Ukraine, for Ukraine's government capital Kyiv city security maintenance.

Recognizing that per a single veto from any one of the five -- including U.K., U.S.A., France, China, and Russia -- permanent UN Security Council member nations (!!!, should be a veto vote from each of any 3 of those 5, I find), any U.N. Security Council non-procedural matter measure fails; though without a permanent member veto vote and per a veto vote from any one of the ten two year U.N. Security Council member nations, in some of the Security Council voting summations, some non-procedural matter measures don't necessarily fail; the U.S.A. may need to recurrently vote of historical U.N. record, for U.N. force deployment Kyiv city security maintenance.

The "United States Mission to the United Nations" "Contact Us" page, has been available at: <https://usun.usmission.gov/mission/contact-us/>. Their street address is: United States Mission to the United Nations, 799 United Nations Plaza, New York, NY 10017.

My vote for changing the U.N. Security Council measure election's U.N. Security Council permanent member nation veto vote, from a "any one U.N. Security Council permanent member nation veto vote terminates any U.N. Security Council non-procedural matter measure" type, to a "any U.N. Security Council non-procedural matter measure may be terminated per any three U.N. Security Council permanent member nation's veto votes together" type (e.g.: 14 abstentions and one permanent member veto vote, measure fails, lacks support; 9 "for" votes, 4 temporary member veto votes, and two permanent member veto votes, measure passes; 12 "for" votes and three permanent member veto votes, measure fails), is available at: <https://www.voterspetition.com/Petitions.html> .
